

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,401	03/09/2004	Marc Radow	-	501120-014	5592
Marc Radow	7590 09/	13/2007		EXAM	INER
1900 Joy Lake Road				GROSSO, HARRY A	
Reno, NV 8951	1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3781	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,401	RADOW, MARC		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Harry A. Grosso	3781		

	Harry A. Grosso	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		I E below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or 	••	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. \bigsqcup Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-23.			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-8 and 10-23.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24-28.</u>			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•	, , ,	•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER I1. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. X Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:		07	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		anthony D. STASI	Stustents
·	·	ANTHONY D. STASI	HICK

ANTHONY D. ŠTASHICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the amendment filed 8/25/07 independent claims 1, 14 and 19 were amended to include dimensional limitations not previously in these claims. This also results in these dimensional limitations now being included in all of the claims that depend from these independent claims, wherein they previously had not been a limitation in the dependent claims. This raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.

In the amendment filed 8/25/07, claim 12 was amended to become an independent claim incorporating the limitations of previous claim 1, from which it depended. If the amendment of this claim had been filed separately, it would have been enterable, however, claim 12 would still be rejectable in the same manner since all of the structure is either anticipated by Phalen or is obvious over Phalen as discussed in the previous office action mailed April 24, 2007.

Claims 21-23 were not amended in the 8/25/07 amendment. If an after final amendment addressing these claims only had been filed separately it would have been enterable, however, these claims would still be rejectable as being obvious over Phalen as discussed in the previous office action mailed April 24, 2007.